INFLUENCE OF PROVISION AND MANAGEMENT OF SECONDARY SCHOOL FACILITIES ON TEACHERS' JOB SATISFACTION IN KATSINA ZONAL EDUCATION QUALITY ASSURANCE, KATSINA STATE

KAMALADDEEN YARO LAWAL¹, PROF. YAHAYA ALIYU SA'ID² & PROF. MUHAMMAD UMAR SANDA³

¹Department of Education, Hassan Usman Katsina Polytechnic Katsina ²Department of Education, Ummaru Musa Yar'adua University Katsina ³Department of Educational Administration and Planning Faculty of Education, Federal University Dutsin-Ma

Abstract

This study examines the Influence of Provision and Management of Secondary School Facilities on Teachers' Job Satisfaction in Katsina Zonal Education Quality Assurance, Katsina State, Nigeria. Descriptive survey research design was used and simple random sampling Procedure was adopted. Two research objectives were stated and two research questions were raised. Samples of 306 respondents were selected out of the main population of one thousand three hundred and twenty four (1324) teachers, one hundred and seventy five SBMC (175) and Ten (10) MOE Officials all from katsina zonal education quality assurance, making a total of 1509 respondents. One research instrument was used: Provision and Management of Secondary School Facilities their influence on Teachers Job Satisfaction Questionnaire (PMSSFITJS). The reliability of the instrument was established at .701. The findings of the study revealed that, provision of infrastructural facilities has a significant positive prediction of teachers' job satisfaction. The study also identifies that some facilities were inadequate in most of the secondary schools. It was recommended that Katsina state government and administrators should urgently need to maintain and upgrade provision of infrastructural facilities to meet up with modern demands of secondary school education.

Key words: Provision, Management, School Facilities, Teachers, Job Satisfaction

Introduction

Education is widely recognized as vital and indicator for the development of nation. One of the basic purposes of education is to produce trained human resources, which overcome development impediments of a given country. Schools are established for the purpose of teaching and learning. It is very important to note that the teachers and students are properly accommodated to facilitate the primary purpose of teaching and learning. (Alimi, 2004). Balogun (2002), submitted that no effective education programme can exist without equipment for teaching and learning. School teachers are the most important group of professionals for our nation's future. Therefore, it is astonishing to know that even today many of the school teachers are dissatisfied with their jobs due to inadequate and poor management of secondary school facilities. Job satisfaction among school teachers are good not only for themselves but society as a whole, as it increases productivity and classroom performance in the schools, when the teachers are satisfied in their job at the time they have interested to teach students with efficiently and effectively.

Facilities enable the learner to develop problem-solving skills and scientific attitudes. In their contribution or influence on students and teacher job satisfaction Ajaji and OgunYemi Owreye (2011) reiterated that when facilities are provided and managed to meet the relative needs of school, students will not only have access to the reference materials, but individual students will also learn at their own

pace. Emakuma (2013), also stated that "school facilities become important because of the extent that it helps teachers in improving teaching-learning and effectiveness".

In the educational institutions, facilities constitute essential inputs which could generate favorable learning environment, facilitate interaction and enhance achievement of educational objectives. In fact, school curriculum would be meaningful and functional if required facilities are provided in adequate quantity at appropriate time.

School facilities are a key resource in meeting teaching and learning objectives. The effective management of school Plants/facilities involves the alignment of asset planning and decision making with educational priorities and strategies. Sound management across the facilities life cycle facilitates better decision-making about the acquisition, ongoing use or operation and ultimately the disposal of assets at the right time, and in a cost effective manner (Adeboye, 2010). These are the buildings, equipment, furniture and others which helps to translate any educational programme into a productive result on the learners. According to Castaldi, (1977) in Musa (2010) facilities are "Those things of education" which enable a skillful teacher to achieve a level of instruction effectiveness that exceeds by far what is possible when they are not provided.

According to system theory a school is considered to be a system having integrated parts such as departments, variety of teaching subjects, school facilities, teachers, students among others. All these segments according to this theory are interrelated, equally important and must work together for efficiency and effectiveness.

The provision of Educational Facilities is the combined responsibility of the public and the private sectors. Execution of educational programmes demands that facilities are provided if success is to be achieved. Government, school proprietors, parents and other stakeholders are expected to provide the facilities for their schools. The Nigerian Government stated that all stakeholders would be involved in every aspect of school management. This undoubtedly includes the provision of school plants. However, this aspect is one of the most neglected areas in the school system (Olagboye, 2013). As a result there is disparity in provision of facility from one school to another in urban center. While the schools located in rural areas are neglected. However, on the aspect of utilization of school plants in secondary schools, Adeboyeje (2000) stated that utilization is the-degree or extent to which an item has been rut into effective use. Therefore, maximum utilization occurs when facilities are put into effective usage in line with primary objectives.

Statement of the Problem

The effect of deteriorating condition and improper provision and management of school facilities are threats to school curriculum implementation and students' academic performance. The poor academic success of students in examinations have been largely attributed to inadequate provision and improper management of school facilities which include audio-video facilities, infrastructural facilities and school environment. Other education resources or facilities that are currently very scarce in our secondary schools consist of instructional resources such as graphics, printed materials, display materials and consumable materials, for example; physical resources such as lands, buildings, furnitures equipment, machinery, vehicles, electricity and water supply Olagboye (2013).

Most teachers in our secondary school are having dissatisfied with their job due to inadequate provision and improper management of educational facilities especially instructional facilities for teaching and learning. Many school facilities are managed poorly, underutilized or non-utilize which leads to the collapse or damage of such equipment. Inadequate facilities bring about over stretching the facilities available and greatly result to complete breakdown. Poor management of school facilities lead to the waste and thereby making plant ineffective.

Based on the about background the researcher make interest to investigate if there is influence on the

provision and management of school facilities on teacher job satisfaction.

Objectives of the Study

The study was guided by the following specific objectives which are to:

- 1- To find out the influence of provision of infrastructural facilities on teacher job satisfaction in Katsina zonal education quality assurance, Katsina State.
- 2- To examine the influence of management of infrastructural facilities on teacher job satisfaction in Katsina Zonal Education Quality Assurance, Katsina State.

Research Question

- 1- What is the influence of provision of infrastructural facilities on teacher job satisfaction in Katsina Zonal Education Quality Assurance, Katsina State.
- 2- What is the influence of management of infrastructural facilities on teachers' job satisfaction in Katsina Zonal Education Quality Assurance, Katsina State.

Research Hypotheses

The study formulates the following null hypothesis

Ho1 there is no significant influence of provision of infrastructural facilities on teachers' job satisfaction in secondary schools in Katsina Zonal Quality Assurance, Katsina State.

Ho2 there is no significant influence of management of infrastructural facilities on teachers' job satisfaction in secondary school in Katsina Zonal Education Quality Assurance, Katsina State.

Methodology

This study adopted descriptive survey research design. The population of the study comprises all public secondary school teachers, School Base Management Committee officials, and Ministry of Education Official in katsina zonal education quality assurance, katsina state. There are a total number of one thousand three hundred and twenty four (1324) teachers from Public senior secondary school, one hundred and seventy five SBMC (175) and Ten (10) MOE Officials all from katsina zonal education quality assurance, making a total of 1509 respondents. With the aid of research adviser (2006) table for determining sample size, a sample size of 306 respondents was used for the study. Stratified sampling techniques was used for the study.

The instrument used for data collection in this study is self-designed structured Questionnaire, designed by the researcher titled Provision and Management of Secondary School Facilities their influence on Teachers Job Satisfaction Questionnaire (PMSSFITJS). The Questionnaire is made up of two (2) sections; the first section presents the demographic information of the respondents such as; status, qualifications, and year of working experience. While the second section present six (6) clusters with five (7) statement items each reflecting the research objectives. It is based on a four(4) point scale utilizing the option: of strongly Agreed (SA), Agree (A), Disagree (D), and strongly Disagree (SD) Weighted 4,3,2 and 1 respectively.

The data collected was analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics, frequency distribution, means and standard deviations were used to describe the pattern of the demographic characteristics of the respondents. Pearson correlation coefficient tool was employed to test each of the hypothesis at 0.05 (5%) level of significance.

Result

Research Question 1: What is the influence of provision of infrastructural facilities on teacher job satisfaction in Katsina Zonal Education Quality Assurance, Katsina State?

Table 1: Respondent opinion on Influence of provision of Infrastructural facilities process in

FUDMA JOURNAL OF RESEARCH, EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY	AND COUNSELLING, (FUJREPAC) VOL. 2, NO. 1, JUNE, 2024.
ISSN 3027 - 0138	e- ISSN 3027 - 0863

	Item Statements	Respon dents		Resp	onse				
S/N			Ν	SA	Α	D	SD	Mean	S. I
1	Provision of ICT lab	Teachers	217	127	85	3	2	3.55	0.50
	improved the teachers	SBMC	72	40	28	4	0	3.81	0.6
	ability to evaluate and assess students learning outcome in secondary school	MOE	10	5	5	0	0	3.50	0.5
2	Provision of laboratory	Teachers	217	167	46	1	3	3.74	0.54
	building in secondary	SBMC	72	61	10	0	1	3.82	0.48
	school contribute on teaching and learning process.	MOE	10	5	4	1	0	3.40	0.70
3	Teachers are satisfied with	Teachers	217	57	84	60	16	2.84	0.90
	the provision of classroom	SBMC	72	19	27	25	1	2.89	0.8
	environment in secondary school	MOE	10	3	5	2	0	3.10	0.7
4.	Provision of laboratory	Teachers	217	123	82	9	3	3.50	0.6
	building facilities has	SBMC	72	42	27	3	0	3.54	0.5
	positive influenceon teachers job satisfaction.	MOE	10	6	3	1	0	3.50	0.7
5	Government provides	Teachers	217	55	80	63	19	2.79	0.9
	adequate classroom	SBMC	72	17	29	22	4	2.82	0.8
	building with proper lighting and ventilation in secondary school	MOE	10	3	2	3	2	2.60	1.1
5	Provision of playground	Teachers	217	80	108	22	7	3.20	0.7
	facility enhance teachers	SBMC	72	20	44	3	5	3.10	0.7
	effectives in secondary schools	MOE	10	2	8	0	0	3.20	0.4
7	Provision of toilet building	Teachers	217	119	78	10	11	3.41	0.7
	facility in secondary	SBMC	72	33	33	3	3	3.33	0.7
	school has positive influenceto secondary school Education.	MOE	10	5	5	0	0	3.50	0.5

Table 1 indicated that provision of infrastructural facilities assist in teachers' job satisfaction in public secondary school in Katsina ZEQA Katsina State. As revealed from item one (1) to seven (7), the average mean scores of respondents were teachers 3.29, SBMC 3.33 and MOE 3.26. This is a clear indication that most of the respondents agree that provision of infrastructural facilities contributed to teachers' job satisfaction in public secondary school in ZEQA, Katsina State.

Research Question 2: What is the influence of management of infrastructural facilities on teachers' job satisfaction in Katsina Zonal Education Quality Assurance, Katsina State.

FUDMA JOURNAL OF RESEARCH, EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY	AND COUNSELLING, (FUJREPAC) VOL. 2, NO. 1, JUNE, 2024.
ISSN 3027 - 0138	e- ISSN 3027 - 0863

Item Statements Respon-Response **Dents** S/N Ν SA SD S.D A D Mean 217 60 70 74 14 2.81 0.92 1 Teachers are satisfied with Teachers 28 27 3 2.74 management of ICT lab in SBMC 72 14 0.82 secondary school MOE 10 0 3 1 2.50 0.71 6 101 94 21 3.36 2 Management of laboratory Teachers 217 1 0.67 39 32 0 building in secondary **SBMC** 72 1 3.53 0.53 contribute school MOE 10 2 7 1 0 3.10 0.75 to Secondary School Education 3 3 Effective Management of 217 87 3.47 0.65 Teachers 117 10 environment 45 3 0 3.58 classroom SBMC 72 24 0.58 7 3 promotes secondary MOE 10 0 0 3.70 3.48 school Education. 4. Management of laboratory Teachers 217 50 47 67 53 2.43 1.10 building has negative SBMC 72 19 14 20 19 2.46 1.15 effective MOE 10 2 3 3 2 2.50 1.08 influence to teaching and learning in secondary school. 5 Classroom buildings are Teachers 217 72 94 47 4 3.08 0.79 well managed by teacher SBMC 72 19 35 18 0 3.01 0.72and students in secondary MOE 10 1 7 2 0 2.90 0.57 school. 217 45 125 42 5 2.97 0.70 6 Management of Teachers 1 SBMC 72 18 42 11 3.07 0.68 facilities playground 1 10 9 0 2.90 0.32 MOE 0 enhance teachers job satisfaction in secondary school. 7 93 5 Effective management of Teachers 217 95 24 3.27 0.75toilet building facility in SBMC 72 38 26 4 4 3.36 0.83 secondary school has a 2 0 3.20 MOE 10 8 0 0.42positive influence of teachers job satisfaction.

 Table 2: Respondent opinion on influence of management of Infrastructural facilities in public

 secondary school in Katsina zonal education quality assurance Katsina state

Table 2 showed that level of contribution of management of infrastructural facilities in public secondary school in Katsina ZEQA, Katsina State. For instance, from item one (1) to seven (7), teachers have the mean score of 3.06. The average mean scores for SBMC were 3.11 while that if MOE were 2.97. This means that majority of the responses therefore strongly agree with the statement that management of infrastructural facilities enhance teachers job satisfaction.

Hypothesis Testing

Ho1 there is no significant influence of provision of infrastructural facilities on teacher's job satisfaction in secondary schools in Katsina Zonal Quality Assurance, Katsina State.

Table 3: Simple Linear Regression Result (Model summary) between provision ofinfrastructural facilities and teachers job satisfaction in secondary schools

Summary	7		
		Adjusted	RStd. Error of Durbin-
R	R Square	Square	the Estimate Watson
.314(a)	.098	.095	2.63194 1.907
	R	1	R R Square Square

a. Predictors: (Constant), provision of infrastructural facilities

b. Dependent Variable: teachers job satisfaction

Table 3 shows the model summary fitness at 0.098 and adjusted R square of 0.095. The coefficient of correlation (r) is 0.314 which is a strong value. This shows that the sample is good enough to explain the influence on the dependent variable at 95%. The remaining percent can be taken care of by the other variables not included in the study.

Table 4: Simple Linear Regression Result (Coefficients) between provision of infrastructural
facilities on teachers job satisfaction in secondary schools

Coefficients(a)									
Model	Unstandardized		Standardized	Т	Sig.				
	Coefficients		Coefficients						
	В	Std. Error	Beta						
1 (Constant)	17.965	1.051		17.101	.000				
provision of	.258	.045	.314	5.690	.000				
infrastructural facilities									
Dependent Variable: teachers job satisfaction									

Table 4 shows a coefficient value of 0.258 which is positive. However, its t-value is 5.690 having a significant P-value of 0.000, this shows that we have enough statistical evidence to reject the null hypothesis which says that there is no significant influence of provision of infrastructural facilities on teachers job satisfaction in secondary schools in Katsina Zonal Quality Assurance, Katsina State .At unstandardized coefficients, every one unit increase in the standard deviation of provision of infrastructural facilities on teachers job satisfaction increase by .258. At standardized coefficient every on unit increase in the standard deviation of teachers' job satisfaction increase by .31.4%.

Thus provision of infrastructural facilities has a significant positive prediction of teachers' job satisfaction.

Ho2 There is no significant influence of management of infrastructural facilities on teachers' job satisfaction in secondary school in Katsina Zonal Education Quality Assurance, Katsina State.

Table 5: Simple Linear Regression Result (Model summary) between management ofinfrastructural facilities on teachers' job satisfaction in secondary schools

			Adjusted	RStd. Error o	ofDurbin-
Model	R	R Square	Square	the Estimate	Watson
1	.302(a)	.091	.088	2.64256	1.885

a. Predictors: (Constant), management of infrastructural facilities

b. Dependent Variable: teachers job satisfaction

Table 5 shows the model summary fitness at 0.091 and adjusted R square of 0.088. The coefficient of correlation (r) is 0.302 which is a strong value. This shows that the sample is good enough to explain the variation on the dependent variable at 95%. The remaining percent can be taken care of by the

FUDMA JOURNAL OF RESEARCH, EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY	AND COUNSELLING, (FUJREPAC) VOL. 2, NO. 1, JUNE, 2024.
ISSN 3027 - 0138	e- ISSN 3027 - 0863

Coefficients(a)											
Model		Unstandardized		Standardized	Т	Sig.					
		Coefficients		Coefficients							
		В	Std. Error	Beta							
1	(Constant)	18.103	1.070		16.916	.000					
	Management of	.270	.049	.302	5.453	.000					
	infrastructural facilities										
Dependent Variable: teachers job satisfaction											
-	Ū.										

other variables not included in the study.

Table 6: Simple Linear Regression Result (Coefficients) between management of infrastructural facilities on teachers' job satisfaction in secondary schools

Table 6 shows a coefficient value of 0.270 which is positive. However, its t-value is 5.453 having a significant P-value of 0.000, this shows that we have enough statistical evidence to reject the null hypothesis which says that there is no significant influence of management of infrastructural facilities on teachers' job satisfaction in secondary schools in Katsina Zonal Quality Assurance, Katsina State. At unstandardized coefficients, every one unit increase in the standard deviation of management of infrastructural facilities on teachers job satisfaction increase by 27.0%. At standardized coefficient every on unit increase in the standard deviation of management of infrastructural facilities on teachers job satisfaction increase by 27.0%. At standardized coefficient every on unit increase in the standard deviation of management of infrastructural facilities on teachers job satisfaction increases by 30.2%.

Thus management of infrastructural facilities has a significant positive prediction of teachers job satisfaction.

Discussions

The findings showed that all the three categories of respondents, e.g. teachers, SBMC, MOE officials, respondent positively that provision and management of secondary school facilities contributed to teachers' job satisfaction in secondary school infrastructural. The findings collaborate with that of Emakuma (2013), who says that "school facilities become important because of the extent that it helps teachers in improving teaching-learning and effectiveness". Hypothesis two assess that, there is significant influence of management of infrastructural facilities and teachers' job satisfaction in Katana ZEQA Katsina state. It was agreed that teaching facilities such as classroom, laboratories, workshops, computer labs, libraries etc, have a great influence on teachers' job satisfaction in secondary school. Just as Lawanson, and Gede (2011) opined that "it is of most importance that the availability of teaching facilities will duly contribute to the successful implementation of school programmed.

The hypothesis 1 of this study investigates the influence of provision of instructional facilities on teachers' job satisfaction. The result reveal that instructional facilities such as computers, textbooks, projectors, chairs, and tables in the school have great influence on teachers' job satisfaction. In the opinion of Adebojeje (2000) adds that learning facilities are mandatory in order to make the school a pleasant, safe, and comfortable center that will increase teachers' job satisfaction, motivation, and willingness to participate adequately in the school.

The second hypothesis examines the influence of management of instructional facilities on teachers' job satisfaction in Katsina ZEQA, Katsina state. From the data analysis, there is no significant influence in the management of instructional facilities on teachers' job satisfaction. It shows that instructional facilities such as whiteboard, microscope, ICT facilities, etc. promote teachers' job satisfaction.

Based on the findings of the study, it can be concluded that; most of the schools do not have sufficient facilities, but the available ones have great influence on teacher satisfaction. The study also examined that the instructional facilities such as ICT facilities, whiteboard and audio-visual facilities have

positive influence on the student academic performance, as well as teacher job satisfaction. The study also identifies that some facilities were inadequate in most of the secondary schools.

Recommendations

On the basis of findings and conclusions, the following recommendations are made.

1. Katsina state government and administrators should urgently need to maintain and upgrade provision of infrastructural facilities to meet up with modern demands of secondary school education.

2. In order to enhance teachers' job satisfaction and high productivity of staff and students fitness in schools, provision and management of instructional facilities should be given serious attention and maintained.

3. Government and administrators should maintain regular and adequate supervision to ensure sustainability of the management of school record facilities by both teachers and students, as this will promote effective teaching and learning as well as teachers' job satisfaction.

References

- Adeboyeje, R.A (2000) A practical approach to effective utilization and maintenance of school facilities in Ekiti state Nigeria. *Nigeria Journal of education administration and planning*.
- Adeboye, R. (2010). Affective events theory: A theoretical discussion of the structure, causes, and consequences of affective experiences at work. Research in Organizational Behaviour, 18, 1-74.
- Alimi, O.S, Ehinola, G.B. & Alabi, F.O (2012). School type and academic performance of students in Ondo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Education Administration and Management, (i): 19 41.*
- Musa, I.D (2018), M.Ed thesis, influence of instructional facilities on boarding secondary schools. https://www.goggle.com.
- Olagboye, A.A. (2004) introduction to Education Management In Nigeria, Ibadan; Daily graphic (Nigeria) Limited.
- Emakuma T. P. (2013). The Influence of an Effective School Record Management System on Student Achievement. Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching (JIRT), 7(2), 88-99. <u>https://doi.org/10.5296/jirt.v7i2.5861</u> ://www.ncef.org/pubs/fundamental_or_focal.pdf
- Weldon, P. A., D'Amico, M. M., Schmid, K. K., & Hebert, T. P. (2014). The Influence of an Effective School Record Management System on Student Achievement. Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching (JIRT), 7(2), 88-99. <u>https://doi.org/10.5296/jirt.v7i2.5861</u>
- Aigboye, E. (2007). Effective curriculum management practices enhancing quality education: A literature review. Issues in Educational Research, 29(1), 278-295.
- Yakubu, I. (2017). Assessment of stake holders perception in Kaduna state; Presented on the curriculum organization conference of Nigeria, (Con), 50th Annual Conference.